



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,375 02/05/2		02/05/2001	Thomas Gildroy Shaw Dixon	1-22918	5356
4859	7590	07/17/2002			
		ANSKI & TODE	EXAMINER		
ONE MARI 720 WATEI		AZA FOURTH FLO	HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE		
TOLEDO, O	OH 43604	-1619	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3635	
				DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/744,375

Applicant(s)

THOMAS GILDROY SHAW DIXON

Examiner

YVONNE M. HORTON

Art Unit **3635**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) Ine application to become	MONTHS f	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 5, 20</u>	001		•			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under Ex part						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-19, 21-33, 35, and 38			is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 💢	Claim(s) 32 and 33			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-19, 21-27, 29-31, 35, and 38			is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 28			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on Feb 5, 2001 is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	d or b)[🗴 objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be hele	d in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office act	ion.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 🗴	(All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:						
	1. 💢 Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	d.				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	in App	olication No			
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have au (PCT Rule 17	been re 7.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage			
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copie	s not re	eceived.			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).			
a)	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	I application ha	s been	received.			
15) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm							
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)			0-413) Paper No(s)			
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) [X] [lnf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).3/18/02	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/744,375 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "20" has been used to designate both a "flat face" and a "hot face". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1,2,4,18,21,24,27,30-33 and 35 are objected to because of the following informalities: "co-operate" should be --cooperate--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-19,21-33,35 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/744,375 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claims 1,18,19,21,25,27 and 33, the phrase "and/or" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claims 8,10,14 and 15, the phrases "plate-like" and "block-like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "like", i.e. is it a plate or is it a block?), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1,2,4,6,7,10-15,18,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent #5,438,813 to WADE. Regarding claims 1,18 and 19, WADE discloses a furnace lining including an insulating material (22) having a hot face (19) and a cold face (21); wherein the cold face is positioned against a furnace wall (13). The insulation material (22) includes an embedded member (23) and a protective element (31) at least partially covering the hot face (19) secured thereto by a securing means (33,41,55). Further regarding claims 18 and 19 and in reference to claims 2,6 and 7; the securing means (33,41,55) comprises a shank (33) and a head (41) separably connected The shank (33) is threaded and passes through the protective member (31) into the insulation (22). In reference to claim 4, the protective element is a net. Therefore, it

Application/Control Number: 09/744,375

Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

is inherent that the shank (33) passes through a passage in the protective element. In reference to claims 10,11,12 and 14, the insulation material (22) is a plurality of individual modules (15) folded to have a "block" configuration and are disposed transversely to the furnace wall (13); wherein the embedded member (23) is forcefully rotated to extend transversely to the insulation folds/blocks (15). Regarding claims 13 and 15, the embedded element (23) is an elongate, strong material plate.

8. Claims 21,22,24-27,29-31 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent #5,438,813 to WADE. In reference to claims 21,31 and 35, the structure of WADE inherently defines a method of lining a furnace including the steps of attaching an insulation material (22) having a hot face (19) and a cold face (21); embedding a member (23); providing a protective member (31); and securing the protective element (31) by attaching a securing means (33,42,55). Regarding claim 22, the securing means include a threaded shank (33) having a head (41); wherein the shank (33) cooperates with the embedded member (23). In reference to claim 24, the shank (33) is inserted through a hole (unlabeled) in the embedded member (23), see figure 3. Regarding claim 25, the shank (33) engages a securing means (55). In reference to claim 26, the embedded member (23) is embedded by rotating. Regarding claim 27, the insulation material (22) is a plurality of individual modules (15) folded to have a "block" configuration and are disposed transversely to the furnace wall (13). In reference to claims 29 and 30, the shank (33) is rotated by engaging a tool (59) which is subsequently removed from the insulation (22). Regarding claim 31,

Application/Control Number: 09/744,375 Page 5

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Patent #5,438,813 to WADE. As detailed in paragraph #6 above, WADE discloses the basic claimed furnace lining except for the embedded member having threads and the protective element being secured by adhesive. In reference to claim 3, although the plate of WADE does not have a threaded hole, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the hole with threads in order to aid in retaining the shank therein. Regarding claim 5, WADE is silent with respect to the material used to form the shank. He does however disclose that the head (41) is ceramic. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Application/Control Number: 09/744,375

Page 6

Art Unit: 3635

to form the shank of WADE from a ceramic material in order to provide the system with a shank that is diverse when exposed to extreme hot and cold conditions. In reference to claim 17, WADE is silent with respect to the use of an adhesive to secure the protective member (31). Although WADE is not explicit in this regard, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to secure the protective "net" (31) with an adhesive in order to ensure attachment of the protective member to the insulation. Regarding claims 16 and 38, although WADE only discloses the use of one protective layer, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the system of WADE with additional protective members since the mere duplication of essential parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

- 12. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #5,438,813 to WADE in view of EP 0695923. As detailed in paragraph #6 above, WADE discloses the basic claimed lining except for the protective element being a plate and the material used to form the protective element. EP 0695923 teaches that is known in the art to form a furnace protective element in the form of a ceramic plate (5). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the system of WADE with the ceramic protective plate member of EP 0695923 in order to provide the furnace with superior insulation characteristics.
- 13. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #5,348,813 to WADE, as detailed in paragraph #7 above, WADE discloses the basic claimed method except for the embedded member having screws to engage the threads of shank (33). Although the plate of WADE does not have a threaded hole, it would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 09/744,375

Art Unit: 3635

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the hole with

threads in order to aid in retaining the shank therein.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claim 28 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

15. Claims 32 and 33 are allowed.

16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the

prior art of record fails to teach the method of lining a furnace wherein an embedded member is

first aligned with the folds of the insulation and then rotated to be inserted into the insulation.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909.

Yvonne M. Hortor Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3635

July 12, 2002

Page 7